Evolving Systems (2019) 10:409-424 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12530-018-9246-8

ORIGINAL PAPER



Extractive summarization using semigraph (ESSg)

Sheetal Sonawane^{1,2} · Parag Kulkarni^{2,3} · Charusheela Deshpande⁴ · Bhagyashree Athawale⁴

Received: 18 May 2017 / Accepted: 25 June 2018 / Published online: 7 July 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Summary is the meaningful concise version of a text document. Generally existing statistical, knowledge based and discourse based extractive summarization methods use sentence similarity to extract informative sentences. This paper presents an innovative application of semigraph which includes the processes of semigraph construction and sentence extraction. Multilevel association among significant features of the text document can be represented using semigraph. Multi vertices property of semigraph helps in finding linear and nonlinear relationship between features. Some variation in semigraph in context of text document is proposed in this paper. The threshold for sentence length is calculated dynamically based on the sentence score. Challenge of measuring and analyzing performance is countered using proposed HIT ratio and ROUGE measures. Substantial experiments on benchmark dataset demonstrate that the proposed solution achieves encouraging performance. Multi directed mapping among summaries generated, using existing method is used to calculate effective index.

Keywords Summarization · Extractive summarization · Semigraph · Graph model

1 Introduction

Summarization is the process (Kumar and Salim 2012) of condensing a source text into a shorter version without loss of its informative content. There is the need of fast and effective automatic summarization due to the availability of online content to a great extent. The requirement of getting maximum information by giving less time is the main motivation towards development of summarization method. Quality informative summary generation is a challenge which requires full understanding of the text. For example, readers first look at the book summary or an abstract of a scientific document before reading the complete book or paper. Even search engines also use summaries of web pages to help users find relevant pages.

There are two approaches of summarization. (1) Extractive, (2) abstractive summarization. Extractive methods work

- Department of Computer Engineering, Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Pune, India
- ² College of Engineering, Pune, India
- ² iKnowlation Research Labs Pvt. Ltd., Pane, India
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering, Pune, India

by selecting a subset of existing words, phrases, or sentences in the original text to form the summary using various statistical features. In contrast, abstractive methods (Das and Martins 2007) build an internal semantic representation. It then uses advanced natural language generation techniques to create a summary that is closer to what a human might generate. Such a summary might contain words not explicitly present in the original text.

In abstractive summarization the semantic representation and generation of natural language is complex as compared to sentence extraction. The sentences in this kind of summary may not be present in the source document. Extractive methods are solely dependent on the extraction of sentences and hence are easy to create, so most research is focused on the extractive methods. In this paper a new technique of extractive summarization is proposed. Semantic representation and association allow proposed method to produce promising results.

Extractive methods are usually performed in three steps (Hong et al. 2014):

- 1. Representation of the original text document.
- 2. Sentence scoring.
- Select high scores sentences in the summary.

Sheetal Sonawane





Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Electronic Notes in DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 63 (2017) 399-406 www.elsevier.com/locate.endm

Adjacency Matrix of a Semigraph

Gaidhani Y.S. 1,2

Department of Mathematics M.E.S.Abasaheb Garware College Pune, India

Deshpande C.M.³

Department of Mathematics College of Engineering Pune, India

Athawale B.P.⁴

Department of Mathematics College of Engineering Pune, India

Abstract

Semigraph was defined by Sampathkumar as a generalization of a graph. In this paper the adjacency matrix which represents semigraph uniquely and a characterization of such a matrix is obtained. An algorithm to construct the semigraph from a given square matrix, if semigraphical is given. Some properties of adjacency matrix of semigraph are studied. A sufficient condition for eigen values to be real is also obtained.

Keywords: Semigraph, adjacency matrix of semigraph, semigraphical matrix, eigen values of semigraph.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cndm.2017.11.037 1571-0653/O 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Journal of Algebra 498 (2018) 336-343



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

ML invariant of the symmetric algebra of a projective module over a one dimensional affine domain



ALGEBRA

S.M. Bhatwadekar^a, J.T. Majithia^{b,*}

 ^a Bhaskaracharya Pratishthana, 56/14 Erandavane, Damle Path, Off Law College Road, Pune 411 004, India
^b Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering Pune, Shivajinagar, Pune 411005, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 29 June 2017 Available online 6 December 2017 Communicated by Luchezar L. Avramov

MSC: primary 13N15 secondary 13A50, 13C10

Keywords: Locally finite iterative higher derivations Locally nilpotent derivations ML invariant Finite generation

ABSTRACT

Let k be an algebraically closed field and let A be an affine domain of dimension one over k. Let P be a finitely generated projective A-module of rank d and let $R = \text{Sym}_A(P)$ be the symmetric algebra of P over A. Assume that A is not a polynomial algebra over k. In this article we show that, under these assumptions, the Makar-Limanov invariant ML(R) = A. © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: smbhatwadekar@gmail.com (S.M. Bhatwadekar), jtm.maths@coep.ac.in (J.T. Majithia).